NEWCOM-ACoRN Joint Workshop 2006



S. Chen[†], A. Wolfgang[†], S. Benedetto[‡], P. Duhanel[§] and L. Hanzo[†]

[†] School of ECS, University of Southampton, UK

[‡] Dipartimento di Elettronica, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

[§] CNRS/LSS, Supelec, France

Outline

□ Channel equalisation revisit Symmetric property of Bayesian solution □ Symmetric RBF equaliser Exploit prior knowledge (symmetry) □ Adaptive equalisation algorithms \bigstar Kernel-type algorithm (Off-line, block data) \Rightarrow Nonlinear LBER algorithm (On-line, recursive) \Rightarrow Clustering algorithm (On-line, recursive) Better performance due to exploiting prior knowledge



□ Channel model: received signal

$$x(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_c - 1} c_i b(k - i) + n(k)$$

□ Signal model: equaliser input $\mathbf{x}(k) = [x(k) \ x(k-1) \cdots x(k-n_e+1)]^T$

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{b}(k) + \mathbf{n}(k) = \bar{\mathbf{x}}(k) + \mathbf{n}(k)$$

□ Equaliser: uses information contained in $\mathbf{x}(k)$ to detect symbol $b(k - \tau)$ $c_i, 0 \le i \le n_c - 1$, are channel taps, with channel length n_c C is $n_e \times L$ channel convolution matrix, with $L = n_c + n_e - 1$ n_e is equaliser order and τ decision delay

n(k) is AWGN, with $E[|n(k)|^2] = \sigma_n^2$



Bayesian Equaliser

□ Assume BPSK: $b(k) \in \{-1, +1\}$, denote $N_b = 2^L$ legitimate combinations of $\mathbf{b}(k)$ as \mathbf{b}_q , $1 \leq q \leq N_b$, and $(\tau + 1)$ -th element of \mathbf{b}_q as $b_{q,\tau}$

 \Box Noiseless channel output $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(k)$ takes values from signal state set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ \bar{\mathbf{x}}_q = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{b}_q, \ 1 \le q \le N_b \}$$

□ Optimal Bayesian equaliser

$$y_{Bay}(k) = \sum_{q=1}^{N_b} \operatorname{sgn}(b_{q,\tau}) \beta_q e^{-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}(k) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_q\|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}}$$

where
$$\beta_q = \frac{1}{N_b (2\pi\sigma_n^2)^{L/2}}$$
, with decision
 $\hat{b}(k-\tau) = \operatorname{sgn}(y_{Bay}(k)) = \begin{cases} +1, & y_{Bay}(k) \ge 0\\ -1, & y_{Bay}(k) < 0 \end{cases}$



□ Signal state set \mathcal{X} can be divided into two subsets conditioned on $b(k-\tau)$

$$\mathcal{X}^{(\pm)} = \{ \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \in \mathcal{X}, 1 \le i \le N_{sb} : b(k - \tau) = \pm 1 \}$$

Sizes of $\mathcal{X}^{(+)}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{(-)}$ are both $N_{sb} = N_b/2$

 $\Box \text{ Symmetry property: for any } \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i^{(+)} \in \mathcal{X}^{(+)} \text{ there exists a } \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i^{(-)} \in \mathcal{X}^{(-)} \text{ such that } \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i^{(-)} = -\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i^{(+)}$

 \Box With this **symmetry** property, **Bayesian equaliser** can be re-arranged as

$$y_{Bay}(k) = \sum_{q=1}^{N_{sb}} \beta_q \left(e^{-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}(k) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_q^{(+)}\|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}} - e^{-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}(k) + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_q^{(+)}\|^2}{2\sigma_n^2}} \right)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_q^{(+)} \in \mathcal{X}^{(+)}$, Bayesian solution has an inherent odd symmetry

□ Symmetric property of Bayesian equaliser is difficult to learn accurately from noisy data by a **traditional** RBF network



 $\hfill \square$ **RBF network** equaliser

$$\hat{b}(k-\tau) = \operatorname{sgn}(y_{RBF}(k))$$
 with $y_{RBF}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \theta_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x}(k))$

 θ_i are RBF weights and M number of RBF units

□ Symmetric RBF node

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \rho_i^2) - \varphi(\mathbf{x}; -\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \rho_i^2)$$

 $\mu_i \in \mathcal{R}^{n_e}$ is RBF centre, ρ_i^2 RBF variance, and $\varphi(\bullet)$ usual RBF function \Box Gaussian RBF function

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x};\boldsymbol{\mu}_i,\rho^2) = e^{-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_i\|^2}{2\rho^2}}$$

□ This symmetric RBF network has an inherent odd symmetry



Given training set $D_K = {\mathbf{x}(k), d(k) = b(k - \tau)}_{k=1}^K$, set M = K and $\mu_i = \mathbf{x}(i)$ for $1 \le i \le K$, and fix all RBF variances to ρ^2

 \Box Define $\varepsilon(i) = d(i) - y_{RBF}(i)$. Then we arrive regression model

 $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\theta} + \mathbf{\varepsilon}$

where $\mathbf{d} = [d(1) \ d(2) \cdots d(K)]^T$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = [\varepsilon(1) \ \varepsilon(2) \cdots \varepsilon(K)]^T$,

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = [\boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \ \boldsymbol{\phi}_2 \cdots \boldsymbol{\phi}_M] \in \mathcal{R}^{K imes M}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\phi}_i = [\phi_i(\mathbf{x}(1)) \ \phi_i(\mathbf{x}(2)) \cdots \phi_i(\mathbf{x}(K))]^T$, and $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1 \ \theta_2 \cdots \theta_M]^T$

 $\Box \text{ Sparse kernel modelling problem} \Rightarrow \text{ select sparse } M_{\text{spa}}\text{-term subset model}$

\Box OFS-FRCSM:

Orthogonal Forward Selection based on incrementally maximising Fisher Ratio of Class Separability Measure



☐ Generic symmetric RBF equaliser

$$y_{RBF}(k; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \theta_i \left(\varphi(\mathbf{x}(k); \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \rho_i^2) - \varphi(\mathbf{x}(k); -\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \rho_i^2) \right)$$

where **w** includes all RBF centres μ_i , variances ρ_i^2 and weights θ_i

□ Define probability density function (PDF) of signed decision variable $y_s(k) = \operatorname{sgn}(b(k - \tau))y_{RBF}(k; \mathbf{w})$ as $p_y(y_s)$

□ Error probability or BER of RBF equaliser

$$P_E(\mathbf{w}) = \operatorname{Prob}\{y_s(k) < 0\} = \int_{-\infty}^0 p_y(y_s) \, dy_s$$

□ Nonlinear minimum bit error rate (MBER) solution

$$\mathbf{w}_{\text{MBER}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} P_E(\mathbf{w})$$



 $\Box \text{ Unknown } p_y(y_s) \Rightarrow \text{Parzen window estimate}$

$$\tilde{p}_y(y_s) = \frac{1}{K\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \sum_{k=1}^K e^{-\frac{(y_s - \operatorname{Sgn}(b(k-\tau))y_{RBF}(k;\mathbf{w}))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

where σ^2 is kernel variance

□ With this estimated PDF, estimated or approximate BER is given by

$$\tilde{P}_E(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{p}_y(y_s) \, dy_s = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K Q\left(\tilde{g}_k(\mathbf{w})\right)$$

where $Q(\bullet)$ is the usual Gaussian error function and

$$\tilde{g}_k(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(b(k-\tau))y_{RBF}(k;\mathbf{w})}{\sigma}$$

 \Box Approximate MBER solution for **w** is obtained by minimising $\tilde{P}_E(\mathbf{w})$



□ To derive a sample-by-sample adaptive algorithm, consider single-sample PDF "estimate" of $p_y(y_s)$

$$\tilde{p}_y(y_s,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(y_s - \operatorname{Sgn}(b(k-\tau))y_{RBF}(k;\mathbf{w}))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- □ Conceptually, with this instantaneous PDF "estimate" we have onesample BER "estimate" $\tilde{P}_E(\mathbf{w}, k) = Q(\tilde{g}_k(\mathbf{w}))$
- □ Using instantaneous or stochastic gradient $\nabla \tilde{P}_E(\mathbf{w}, k)$ gives rise to

$$\mathbf{w}(k) = \mathbf{w}(k-1) + \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{y_{RBF}^2(k;\mathbf{w}(k-1))}{2\sigma^2}} \operatorname{sgn}(b(k-\tau)) \frac{\partial y_{RBF}(k;\mathbf{w}(k-1))}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

which we refer to as Nonlinear Least Bit Error Rate (NLBER)

□ Step size ξ and kernel width σ should be chosen carefully to ensure fast convergence and small steady-state BER misadjustment



Clustering Algorithm

- \Box Set $M = N_{sb}$, $\theta_i = \beta > 0$ and $\rho_i^2 = \hat{\sigma}_n^2 \ \forall i$, where $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is estimated σ_n^2
- ☐ Then symmetric RBF equaliser realises exactly Bayesian equaliser by placing its centres $\mu_i = \mathbf{x}_i^{(+)} \in \mathcal{X}^{(+)}$
- □ Denote legitimate combinations of $\mathbf{b}(k)$ corresponding to $b(k \tau) = +1$ as $\mathbf{b}_i^{(+)}$, $1 \le i \le N_{sb}$
- □ During training, supervised clustering

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } (\mathbf{b}(k) == \mathbf{b}_i^{(+)}) \\ & \check{\mathbf{x}}(k) = \mathbf{x}(k); \\ & \text{else if } (\mathbf{b}(k) == -\mathbf{b}_i^{(+)}) \\ & \check{\mathbf{x}}(k) = -\mathbf{x}(k); \\ & \boldsymbol{\mu}_i(k) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_i(k-1) + \boldsymbol{\mu} \left(\check{\mathbf{x}}(k) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i(k-1) \right); \end{aligned}$$

 \square In data transmission, use unsupervised or enhanced $\kappa\text{-means}$ clustering



□ Three-tap channel

$$x(k) = 0.3 \ b(k) + 0.8 \ b(k-1) + 0.3 \ b(k-2) + n(k)$$

 \Box Equaliser order $n_e = 4$

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = [x(k) \ x(k-1) \ x(k-2) \ x(k-3)]^T$$

and decision delay $\tau = 2$ \Box Size of $\mathcal{X}^{(+)}$ is $N_{sb} = 32$



OFS-FRCSM Results

Bit error rate comparison of optimal Bayesian equaliser and symmetric RBF equaliser based on OFS-FRCSM algorithm





NLBER Results

- \Box SNR= 13 dB, and average over 10 runs
- \Box First *M* data points as initial centres $\mu_i(0)$, $\theta_i(0) = 0.01$ and $\rho_i^2(0) = 4\sigma_n^2$

 \Box Step size $\mu = 0.1$ and kernel width $\sigma = \sigma_n$ for NLBER





School of ECS, University of Southampton, UK

Bit error rate comparison of optimal Bayesian equaliser and symmetric RBF equaliser based on NLBER algorithm





Clustering Results

- \Box SNR= 10 dB, symmetric RBF size M = 32 and RBF variance $\rho^2 = \sigma_n^2$
- □ Learning curve shows Euclidean distance between set of RBF centres and set of channel states





Bit error rate comparison of optimal Bayesian equaliser and symmetric RBF equaliser based on clustering algorithm





 $\hfill\square$ Classical channel equalisation has been revisited

- \clubsuit Inherently odd symmetry property of optimal Bayesian equaliser has been highlighted
- □ A novel symmetric radial basis function network has been proposed for channel equalisation
 - ☆ Which exploits prior knowledge on symmetry property of optimal solution, leading to enhanced performance
- □ Adaptive algorithms for training this symmetric RBF equaliser have been reviewed



THANK YOU.

The financial support of the EU under the auspices of the Phoenix and Newcom projects is gratefully acknowledged.



