## The Teukolsky equation on Kerr black hole spacetimes

### Rita Teixeira da Costa\*

\*Princeton University / University of Cambridge

Gravity Seminar, University of Southampton

February 10th, 2022

Kerr black holes are solutions to EVE characterized by parameters M>0 and  $|a|\leq M.$ 

- a = 0: Schwarzschild,
- |a| < M: subextremal.

Kerr black holes are solutions to EVE characterized by parameters M>0 and  $|a|\leq M.$ 

- a = 0: Schwarzschild,
- |a| < M: subextremal.



Kerr black holes are solutions to EVE characterized by parameters M>0 and  $|a|\leq M$ .

- a = 0: Schwarzschild,
- |a| < M: subextremal.



Kerr black holes are thought to be

- **unique** in the class of regular stationary, asymptotically flat black hole solutions to EVE;
- the final state of generic gravitational collapse.

Kerr black holes are solutions to EVE characterized by parameters M>0 and  $|a|\leq M.$ 

- a = 0: Schwarzschild,
- |a| < M: subextremal.



Kerr black holes are thought to be

- **unique** in the class of regular stationary, asymptotically flat black hole solutions to EVE;
- the final state of generic gravitational collapse.

Understanding their stability properties is essential!

### The Teukolsky master equation

To prove nonlinear stability, we must understand **linear** stability very, very well.

To prove nonlinear stability, we must understand **linear** stability very, very well. The key to it is the **Teukolsky equation** 

$$\begin{cases} \Box_g + \frac{2s}{\rho^2}(r-M)\partial_r + \frac{2s}{\rho^2}\left(\frac{M(r^2-a^2)}{\Delta} - r - ia\cos\theta\right)\partial_t \\ + \frac{2s}{\rho^2}\left[\frac{a(r-M)}{\Delta} + i\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta}\right]\partial_\phi + \frac{s}{\rho^2}\left(1 - s\cot^2\theta\right)\Big\}\alpha^{[s]} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(TE)

with  $s = \pm 2$ , which describes the dynamics of **gauge-invariant** curvature components.

Since  $\alpha^{[s]} = 0$  for a Kerr metric, want to show stability of the zero solution to (TE). The rest is controllable by gauge.

To prove nonlinear stability, we must understand **linear** stability very, very well. The key to it is the **Teukolsky equation** 

$$\begin{cases} \Box_g + \frac{2s}{\rho^2}(r-M)\partial_r + \frac{2s}{\rho^2}\left(\frac{M(r^2-a^2)}{\Delta} - r - ia\cos\theta\right)\partial_t \\ + \frac{2s}{\rho^2}\left[\frac{a(r-M)}{\Delta} + i\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta}\right]\partial_\phi + \frac{s}{\rho^2}\left(1 - s\cot^2\theta\right)\Big\}\alpha^{[s]} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(TE)

with  $s = \pm 2$ , which describes the dynamics of **gauge-invariant** curvature components. More generally, can take  $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ :

- s = 0: scalar waves;
- $s = \pm 1$ : some gauge-invariant electromagnetic quantities; ...

Since  $\alpha^{[s]} = 0$  for a Kerr metric, want to show stability of the zero solution to (TE). The rest is controllable by gauge.

(In)formally, a solution to (TE) is an infinite superposition of modes

$$\alpha_{m\Lambda}^{[s],a\omega} = e^{-i\omega t} \cdot e^{im\phi} \cdot S_{m\Lambda}^{[s],a\omega}(\theta) \cdot (r^2 + a^2)^{-1/2} \Delta^{-|s|/2} u^{[s]}(r)$$

(In)formally, a solution to (TE) is an infinite superposition of modes

$$\alpha_{m\Lambda}^{[s],a\omega} = e^{-i\omega t} \cdot e^{im\phi} \cdot S_{m\Lambda}^{[s],a\omega}(\theta) \cdot (r^2 + a^2)^{-1/2} \Delta^{-|s|/2} u^{[s]}(r)$$

where  $u^{[s]}$  solves the Schrödinger-type ODE

$$\left(u^{[s]}\right)'' + \left(\omega^2 - V^{[s]}\right)u^{[s]} = 0,$$
(TO)  
$$V^{[s]} = \frac{\Delta\Lambda + 4Mram\omega - a^2m^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + s^2\frac{(r-M)^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta\left(a^2\Delta + 2Mr(r^2 - a^2)\right)}{(r^2 + a^2)^4} - 2is\frac{\omega\left(r(r^2 + a^2) + M(a^2 - 3r^2)\right) + am(r-M)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2},$$

with respect to a rescaled variable  $r^*$  ( $r^* = \pm \infty$  when  $r = \infty, r_+$ ).

Consider the scattering map for each  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$ .



Consider the scattering map for each  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$ .



If  $|\Re| = \infty$ , have mode instability.

Consider the scattering map for each  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$ .



If  $|\Re| = \infty$ , have mode instability.

Usually, this is forbidden by the **conserved energy**. E.g., if a = 0,

$$|\Re|^2 + |\mathfrak{T}|^2 = 1 \implies |\Re| \le 1 < \infty.$$

Consider the scattering map for each  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$ .



If  $|\Re| = \infty$ , have mode instability.

Usually, this is forbidden by the **conserved energy**. But if  $a \neq 0$ ,

$$|\Re|^2 + \frac{\omega}{\omega - m\omega_+} |\mathfrak{T}|^2 = 1 \implies ??.$$

The superradiance condition

$$\frac{\omega}{\omega - m\omega_+} < 0, \qquad \omega_+ := \frac{a}{2M(M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2})},$$

opens door to possible superradiant instabilities!

In fact, since Zeldovich/Teukolsky-Press '72 it is expected that **superradiant instabilities** are the **norm**...

NATURE VOL. 238 JULY 28 1972

# LETTERS TO NATURE

PHYSICAL SCIENCES

Floating Orbits, Superradiant Scattering and the Black-hole Bomb

### The exception that confirms the rule

And yet ...

#### PERTURBATIONS OF A ROTATING BLACK HOLE. II. DYNAMICAL STABILITY OF THE KERR METRIC\*

WILLIAM H. PRESS<sup>†</sup> AND SAUL A. TEUKOLSKY<sup>‡</sup> California Institute of Technology, Pasadena

#### ABSTRACT

This paper tests the dynamical stability of rotating holes by numerical integration of the separable perturbation equations for the Kerr metric. No instabilities are found in any of the dozen or so lowest angular modes tested, for any value of specific angular momentum  $0 \le a < M$ .

These stability results add credibility to the use of the Kerr metric in detailed astrophysical models.

no unstable modes were detected.

### The exception that confirms the rule

#### And yet... even with good tools for the numerics,

Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **402**, 285–298 (1985) Printed in Great Britain

### An analytic representation for the quasi-normal modes of Kerr black holes

BY E. W. LEAVER

Department of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

the quasi-normal frequencies and angular separation constants are defined as the simultaneous roots of two characteristic continued fraction equations, and these may be solved numerically with high precision.

no unstable modes were detected.

### The exception that confirms the rule

And yet ...

Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **402**, 285–298 (1985) Printed in Great Britain

### An analytic representation for the quasi-normal modes of Kerr black holes

BY E. W. LEAVER

Department of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

the quasi-normal frequencies and angular separation constants are defined as the simultaneous roots of two characteristic continued fraction equations, and these may be solved numerically with high precision.

no unstable modes were detected.

If superradiant instabilities are the **norm**, the (massless) Teukolsky equation appear to be the **exception**: why?

**Mode stability** 

Fix |a| < M, and  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \omega > 0$ . Then the only solution to (TO) with "good" bdry conditions is the zero solution.

Fix |a| < M, and  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$  such that Im  $\omega > 0$ . Then the only solution to (TO) with "good" bdry conditions is the zero solution.

To prove this, Whiting shows that (TO)

$$\left(\tilde{u}^{[s]}\right)'' + \left(\omega^2 - \tilde{V}^{[s]}\right)\tilde{u}^{[s]} = 0,$$
(TO)  
$$V^{[s]} = \frac{\Delta\Lambda + 4Mram\omega - a^2m^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + s^2\frac{(r - M)^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta\left(a^2\Delta + 2Mr(r^2 - a^2)\right)}{(r^2 + a^2)^4} - 2is\frac{\omega\left(r(r^2 + a^2) + M(a^2 - 3r^2)\right) + am(r - M)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2},$$

Fix |a| < M, and  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} \omega > 0$ . Then the only solution to (TO) with "good" bdry conditions is the zero solution.

To prove this, Whiting shows that (TO) is isospectral to the ODE

Fix |a| < M, and  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$  such that Im  $\omega > 0$ . Then the only solution to (TO) with "good" bdry conditions is the zero solution.

To prove this, Whiting shows that (TO) is isospectral to the ODE

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0 ,$$

$$\tilde{V} = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \left[ \omega^2 \left( \Delta + 4M^2 \frac{2(r - M)}{r - r_+} + 4Mr_- \frac{2(r - M)}{r_+ - r_-} \right) - \Lambda - 2am\omega \frac{2(r - r_+)}{r_+ - r_-} - \frac{a^2 \Delta + 2Mr(r^2 - a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} - \frac{r - r_+}{r_- r_-} s^2 \right] ,$$

$$(\widetilde{\text{TO}})$$

Fix |a| < M, and  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$  such that Im  $\omega > 0$ . Then the only solution to (TO) with "good" bdry conditions is the zero solution.

To prove this, Whiting shows that (TO) is isospectral to the ODE

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0 ,$$

$$\tilde{V} = \frac{\Delta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \left[ \omega^2 \left( \Delta + 4M^2 \frac{2(r - M)}{r - r_+} + 4Mr_- \frac{2(r - M)}{r_+ - r_-} \right) - \Delta - 2am\omega \frac{2(r - r_+)}{r_+ - r_-} - \frac{a^2 \Delta + 2Mr(r^2 - a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} - \frac{r - r_+}{r_- r_-} s^2 \right] ,$$

$$(\widetilde{\text{TO}})$$

Then, it is easy to conclude: (TO) has **no superradiance**, so it can have no unstable modes; by isospectrality neither can (TO).

The isospectrality is shown as follows: one checks (by hand) that the injective map  $u^{[s]}\mapsto \tilde{u}^{[s]}$ 

maps solutions of (TO) to solutions of  $(\widetilde{TO})$ .

The isospectrality is shown as follows: one checks (by hand) that the injective map  $u^{[s]} \mapsto \tilde{u}^{[s]}$ 

maps solutions of (TO) to solutions of  $(\widetilde{TO})$ .

Same holds for  $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , but need regularization argument (Shlapentokh-Rothman '15).

The isospectrality is shown as follows: one checks (by hand) that the injective map  $u^{[s]}\mapsto \tilde{u}^{[s]}$ 

maps solutions of (TO) to solutions of  $(\widetilde{TO})$ .

Same holds for  $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , but need **regularization argument** (Shlapentokh-Rothman '15).

Note: transformation breaks down as  $|a| \rightarrow M$ .

### Mode stability à la Whiting III

But a similar strategy works! For |a| = M, the map (TdC '19)

defined whenever Im  $\omega \ge 0$  and  $\omega \ne 0, m\omega_+$  also shows isospectrality of (TO) to a new, superradiance-less ODE.

### Mode stability à la Whiting III

But a similar strategy works! For |a| = M, the map (TdC '19)

defined whenever Im  $\omega \ge 0$  and  $\omega \ne 0, m\omega_+$  also shows isospectrality of (TO) to a new, superradiance-less ODE.

In summary,

#### **Theorem** (mode stability)

If Im  $\omega \ge 0$  with  $\omega \ne 0$ , then the **only solution** to (TO) with "good" boundary conditions, either for |a| < M, or for |a| = M if additionally  $\omega \ne m\omega_+$ , is the **zero solution**.

But why should (TO) and  $(\widetilde{TO})$  be isospectral?

But why should (TO) and (TO) be isospectral? The answer might have been in the literature already...

Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **402**, 285–298 (1985) Printed in Great Britain

#### An analytic representation for the quasi-normal modes of Kerr black holes

BY E. W. LEAVER

Department of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

A nontrivial mode exists if its frequency  $\omega$  is a root of the continued fraction equation

$$0 = \beta_0^r - \frac{\alpha_0^r \gamma_1^r \alpha_1^r \gamma_2^r}{\beta_1^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_3^r - \beta_3^r - } \dots,$$
(27)

A nontrivial mode exists if its frequency  $\omega$  is a root of the continued fraction equation

$$0 = \beta_0^r - \frac{\alpha_0^r \gamma_1^r}{\beta_1^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_3^r - } \dots,$$

The recursion coefficients are

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_n^r = n^2 + (c_0 + 1) \, n + c_0 \,, \\ &\beta_n^r = -2n^2 + (c_1 + 2) \, n + c_3 \,, \\ &\gamma_n^r = n^2 + (c_2 - 3) \, n + c_4 - c_2 + 2 \,, \end{split}$$

and the intermediate constants  $c_n$  are defined by

$$\begin{split} c_0 &= 1 - s - \mathrm{i}\omega - \frac{2\mathrm{i}}{b} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - am \right), \qquad c_1 = -4 + 2\mathrm{i}\omega(2+b) + \frac{4\mathrm{i}}{b} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - am \right), \\ c_2 &= s + 3 - 3\mathrm{i}\omega - \frac{2\mathrm{i}}{b} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - am \right), \\ c_3 &= \omega^2 (4 + 2b - a^3) - 2am\omega - s - 1 + (2+b)\mathrm{i}\omega - A_{lm} + \frac{4\omega + 2\mathrm{i}}{b} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - am \right), \\ c_4 &= s + 1 - 2\omega^2 - (2s + 3)\mathrm{i}\omega - \frac{4\omega + 2\mathrm{i}}{b} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} - am \right). \end{split}$$

Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 402, 285-298 (1985)

A nontrivial mode exists if its frequency  $\omega$  is a root of the continued fraction equation

$$0 = \beta_0^r - \frac{\alpha_0^r \gamma_1^r}{\beta_1^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_3^r -} \dots,$$

A nontrivial mode exists if its frequency  $\omega$  is a root of the continued fraction equation

$$0 = \beta_0^r - \frac{\alpha_0^r \gamma_1^r}{\beta_1^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_3^r - \dots,$$

the intermediate constants are defined by

$$m_1 = s + 2iM\omega$$
,  $m_2 = i\frac{2M^2\omega - am}{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}}$ ,  $m_3 = -s + 2iM\omega$ .

[Casals-TdC '21]

A nontrivial mode exists if its frequency  $\omega$  is a root of the continued fraction equation

$$0 = \beta_0^r - \frac{\alpha_0^r \gamma_1^r}{\beta_1^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_2^r - \beta_3^r - \dots,$$

The recursion coefficients satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n-1}^{r}\gamma_{n}^{r} &= n\left\{ [n-\sigma_{1}(\boldsymbol{m})][n(n-\sigma_{1}(\boldsymbol{m}))+\sigma_{2}(\boldsymbol{m})]+\sigma_{3}(\boldsymbol{m})\right\},\\ \beta_{n}^{r} &= -\Lambda - s^{2} + 8M^{2}\omega^{2} + 2n(i\omega(r_{+}-r_{-})-n)\\ &+ [2n+1-i\omega(r_{+}-r_{-})]\sigma_{1}(\boldsymbol{m}) - \sigma_{2}(\boldsymbol{m})\,,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_i(\boldsymbol{m})$  are symmetric polynomials in  $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$ and the intermediate constants are defined by

$$m_1 = s + 2iM\omega$$
,  $m_2 = i\frac{2M^2\omega - am}{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}}$ ,  $m_3 = -s + 2iM\omega$ .

[Casals-TdC '21]

#### **Theorem** (Casals–TdC '21)

 $V^{[}$ 

Take |a| < M. The point **spectrum** of

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0 ,$$
 (TO)  
$$s_{]} = \frac{\Delta \Lambda + 4Mram\omega - a^2m^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + s^2 \frac{(r - M)^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta [a^2 \Delta + 2Mr(r^2 - a^2)]}{(r^2 + a^2)^4} \\ - 2is \frac{\omega [r(r^2 + a^2) + M(a^2 - 3r^2)] + am(r - M)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} ,$$

for solutions with "good" boundary conditions

#### **Theorem** (Casals–TdC '21)

Take |a| < M. The point **spectrum** of

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0 ,$$
 (TO)  
$$V^{[s]} = \frac{(M^2 - a^2)[(m_1 + m_2)^2 - 1] + 2i\omega\Delta(r - M)m_3}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{m_1m_2(r_+ - r_-)\Delta^2}{(r - r_-)(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta(\Lambda + s^2 - 8M^2\omega^2 + 4Mr\omega^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

for solutions with "good" boundary conditions has symmetries

#### **Theorem** (Casals–TdC '21)

Take |a| < M. The point **spectrum** of

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0 ,$$
 (TO)  
$$V^{[s]} = \frac{(M^2 - a^2)[(m_1 + m_2)^2 - 1] + 2i\omega\Delta(r - M)m_3}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{m_1m_2(r_+ - r_-)\Delta^2}{(r - r_-)(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta(\Lambda + s^2 - 8M^2\omega^2 + 4Mr\omega^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

for solutions with "good" boundary conditions has symmetries

•  $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_3$ : recovers Teukolsky–Starobinsky identities;

#### **Theorem** (Casals–TdC '21)

Take |a| < M. The point **spectrum** of

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0 ,$$
 (TO)  
$$V^{[s]} = \frac{(M^2 - a^2)[(m_1 + m_2)^2 - 1] + 2i\omega\Delta(r - M)m_3}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{m_1m_2(r_+ - r_-)\Delta^2}{(r - r_-)(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta(\Lambda + s^2 - 8M^2\omega^2 + 4Mr\omega^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

for solutions with "good" boundary conditions has symmetries

- $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_3$ : recovers Teukolsky–Starobinsky identities;
- $m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3$ : recovers Whiting's integral transformation;

#### **Theorem** (Casals–TdC '21)

Take |a| < M. The point **spectrum** of

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0 ,$$
 (TO)  
$$V^{[s]} = \frac{(M^2 - a^2)[(m_1 + m_2)^2 - 1] + 2i\omega\Delta(r - M)m_3}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{m_1m_2(r_+ - r_-)\Delta^2}{(r - r_-)(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta(\Lambda + s^2 - 8M^2\omega^2 + 4Mr\omega^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

for solutions with "good" boundary conditions has symmetries

- $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_3$ : recovers Teukolsky–Starobinsky identities;
- $m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3$ : recovers Whiting's integral transformation;

Hence, mode stability holds for (TE).

#### **Theorem** (Casals–TdC '21)

Take |a| < M. The point **spectrum** of

$$\left( u^{[s]} \right)'' + \left( \omega^2 - V^{[s]} \right) u^{[s]} = 0,$$
 (TO)  
$$V^{[s]} = \frac{(M^2 - a^2)[(m_1 + m_2)^2 - 1] + 2i\omega\Delta(r - M)m_3}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{m_1m_2(r_+ - r_-)\Delta^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta(\Lambda + s^2 - 8M^2\omega^2 + 4Mr\omega^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

for solutions with "good" boundary conditions has symmetries

- $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_3$ : recovers Teukolsky–Starobinsky identities;
- $m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3$ : recovers Whiting's integral transformation;
- compositions thereof.

(conjectured by Aminov, Grassi, Hatsuda '20)

Hence, mode stability holds for (TE).

#### Hidden symmetries' method is more flexible:

#### **Proposition** (Casals-TdC '21)

Consider subextremal Kerr-dS (solves EVE with > 0 cosm. constant). Take  $\eta_j = \frac{i(-1)^j(\omega - m\omega_j)}{2\kappa_j}$ , and set  $m_1 = s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_2 = \eta_0 - \eta_1$ ,  $m_3 = -s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_4 = \eta_0 + \eta_1 + 2\eta_2$ .

#### Hidden symmetries' method is more flexible:

#### Proposition (Casals-TdC '21)

Consider subextremal Kerr-dS (solves EVE with > 0 cosm. constant). Take  $\eta_j = \frac{i (-1)^j (\omega - m\omega_j)}{2\kappa_j}$ , and set  $m_1 = s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_2 = \eta_0 - \eta_1$ ,  $m_3 = -s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_4 = \eta_0 + \eta_1 + 2\eta_2$ .

Then, (TO) has spectral symmetries  $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3 \leftrightarrow m_4$ .

#### Hidden symmetries' method is more flexible:

#### Proposition (Casals-TdC '21)

Consider **subextremal Kerr-dS** (solves EVE with > 0 cosm. constant). Take  $\eta_j = \frac{i(-1)^j(\omega - m\omega_j)}{2\kappa_j}$ , and set  $m_1 = s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_2 = \eta_0 - \eta_1$ ,  $m_3 = -s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_4 = \eta_0 + \eta_1 + 2\eta_2$ .

Then, (TO) has spectral symmetries  $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3 \leftrightarrow m_4$ . Hence, **mode stability** holds for some superradiant freqs:



#### Hidden symmetries' method is more flexible:

#### Proposition (Casals-TdC '21)

Consider **subextremal Kerr-dS** (solves EVE with > 0 cosm. constant). Take  $\eta_j = \frac{i(-1)^j(\omega - m\omega_j)}{2\kappa_j}$ , and set  $m_1 = s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_2 = \eta_0 - \eta_1$ ,  $m_3 = -s - \eta_1 - \eta_0$ ,  $m_4 = \eta_0 + \eta_1 + 2\eta_2$ .

Then, (TO) has spectral symmetries  $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3 \leftrightarrow m_4$ . Hence, **mode stability** holds for some superradiant freqs:



**Open:** (dis)prove mode stability for the blue region.

# **Beyond mode stability**

### Shortcomings of mode stability



### Shortcomings of mode stability



But one could have modes at

- $|\omega| + |m| + |\Lambda| \to \infty$ , i.e. high frequencies;
- $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. low frequencies;
- $\omega \to m\omega_+, |a| \to M$ , both high and low!

#### **Theorem** (Shlapentokh-Rothman-TdC '20)

For  $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ , there are also no modes at either of

- high frequencies:  $|\omega| + |m| + |\Lambda| \to \infty;$
- low frequencies:  $|\omega| + |m| + |\Lambda| < \infty, \ \omega \to 0;$

in the **full subextremal** range |a| < M.

#### Theorem (Shlapentokh-Rothman-TdC '20)

For  $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ , there are also no modes at either of

- high frequencies:  $|\omega| + |m| + |\Lambda| \to \infty$ ;
- low frequencies:  $|\omega| + |m| + |\Lambda| < \infty, \ \omega \to 0;$

in the **full subextremal** range |a| < M.

Thus, solutions to (TE) are bounded and, in fact, decay in time.

### The shoulders of giants



Beyond the Teukolsky equations:

• Nonlinear stability in a = 0 subfamily:

 $Dafermos-Holzegel-Rodnianski-Taylor \ '21. \ (|a| \ll M \ underway, Klainerman-Szeftel, \ Giorgi...)$ 

- Decay of linearized EVE for  $|a| \ll M$ : Andersson-Bäckdahl-Blue-Ma and Häfner-Hintz-Vasy '19.
- Coupled electromagnetic+gravitational perturb: Giorgi '19...

### Low frequencies $(|m| + |\Lambda| \leq 1, \omega \rightarrow 0)$

If  $|\omega| \neq 0$ , (TO) has nontrivial **long-range** Im  $V^{[s]}$ ,

$$\begin{split} V^{[s]} &= \frac{\Delta\Lambda + 4Mram\omega - a^2m^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + s^2\frac{(r-M)^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{\Delta\left(a^2\Delta + 2Mr(r^2 - a^2)\right)}{(r^2 + a^2)^4} \\ &- 2is\frac{\omega\left(r(r^2 + a^2) + M(a^2 - 3r^2)\right) + am(r-M)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} \,. \end{split}$$

If  $|\omega| \neq 0$ , (TO) has nontrivial **long-range** Im  $V^{[s]}$ .

To deal with this, we use an idea of Chandrasekhar: set

$$\psi_{(k)}^{[s]} \equiv \left(k ext{ adequetely } r ext{-weighted null derivatives of } u^{[s]}
ight) \,,$$

for k = 0, ..., |s|.

If  $|\omega| \neq 0$ , (TO) has nontrivial **long-range** Im  $V^{[s]}$ 

To deal with this, we use an idea of Chandrasekhar: set

$$\begin{split} \psi_{(k)}^{[s]} &\equiv \left(k \text{ adequetely } r \text{-weighted null derivatives of } u^{[s]}\right) \,, \\ \text{for } k = 0, \dots, |s|. \text{ Then } \Psi^{[s]} &\equiv \psi_{(|s|)}^{[s]} \text{ satisfies} \\ &\left(\Psi^{[s]}\right)'' + \left(\omega^2 - \mathcal{V}^{[s]}\right) \Psi^{[s]} = a \sum_{k=0}^{|s|-1} \mathfrak{J}_k^{[s]} \left(\psi_{(k)}, im\psi_{(k)}\right) \,, \end{split}$$
(RWO)

with  $\mathcal{V}^{[s]}$  real and short-range.

New potential  $\mathcal{V}^{[s]}$  is like the scalar wave's: e.g. have trapping at high frequencies.

New potential  $\mathcal{V}^{[s]}$  is like the scalar wave's: e.g. have **trapping** at high frequencies. This is **in addition** to the issue of superradiance!

New potential  $\mathcal{V}^{[s]}$  is like the scalar wave's: e.g. have **trapping** at high frequencies. This is **in addition** to the issue of superradiance!

Luckily for Kerr stability,

- trapping is unstable
- if  $(\omega, m, \Lambda)$  is superradiant, it cannot be trapped<sup>\*</sup>.

[Dafermos-Rodnianski '09, DRSR '14]

\*This **disjointness** breaks down as  $|a| \rightarrow M$ .

Coupling terms in

$$\left(\Psi^{[s]}\right)'' + \left(\omega^2 - \mathcal{V}^{[s]}\right)\Psi^{[s]} = a \sum_{k=0}^{|s|-1} \mathfrak{J}_k^{[s]}\left(\psi_{(k)}, im\psi_{(k)}\right), \quad (\mathsf{RWO})$$

means the correspondence  $\Psi^{[s]} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{scalar}$  wave is not perfect

Coupling terms in (RWO) means the correspondence  $\Psi^{[s]}\leftrightarrow$  scalar wave is not perfect; e.g. for  $s\leq 0$  compare

Coupling terms in (RWO) means the correspondence  $\Psi^{[s]}\leftrightarrow$  scalar wave is not perfect; e.g. for  $s\leq 0$  compare

• Killing energy for scalar waves,

$$\omega^{2}|\Psi^{[s]}(\infty)|^{2} + \omega(\omega - m\omega_{+})|\Psi^{[s]}(-\infty)|^{2} = -a\sum_{k=0}^{|s|-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\omega\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Psi^{[s]}}\cdot\mathfrak{J}_{k}^{[s]}]\mathrm{d}r^{*},$$
is **not** conserved

Coupling terms in (RWO) means the correspondence  $\Psi^{[s]}\leftrightarrow$  scalar wave is not perfect; e.g. for  $s\leq 0$  compare

• Killing energy for scalar waves,

$$\omega^{2}|\Psi^{[s]}(\infty)|^{2} + \omega(\omega - m\omega_{+})|\Psi^{[s]}(-\infty)|^{2} = -a\sum_{k=0}^{|s|-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\omega\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Psi^{[s]}}\cdot\mathfrak{J}_{k}^{[s]}]\mathrm{d}r^{*},$$
is **not** conserved

• TS energy for spin-weighted waves [Teukolsky-Press '74],

$$\omega^2 \frac{\mathfrak{C}_s}{\mathfrak{D}_s^{\mathcal{I}}} |\Psi^{[s]}(\infty)|^2 + \omega(\omega - m\omega_+) |\Psi^{[s]}(-\infty)|^2 = 0$$

Coupling terms in (RWO) means the correspondence  $\Psi^{[s]}\leftrightarrow$  scalar wave is not perfect; e.g. for  $s\leq 0$  compare

• Killing energy for scalar waves,

$$\omega^{2}|\Psi^{[s]}(\infty)|^{2} + \omega(\omega - m\omega_{+})|\Psi^{[s]}(-\infty)|^{2} = -a\sum_{k=0}^{|s|-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\omega\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Psi^{[s]}}\cdot\mathfrak{J}_{k}^{[s]}]\mathrm{d}r^{*},$$

• TS energy for spin-weighted waves [Teukolsky-Press '74],

$$\begin{split} & \omega^2 \frac{\mathfrak{C}_s}{\mathfrak{D}_s^{\mathcal{I}}} |\Psi^{[s]}(\infty)|^2 + \omega(\omega - m\omega_+) |\Psi^{[s]}(-\infty)|^2 = 0 \\ & \overbrace{\text{frequency weights which behave weirdly [Casals-TdC '21]}^{\text{frequency weights which behave weirdly [Casals-TdC '21]}} = 0 \end{split}$$

Coupling terms in (RWO) means the correspondence  $\Psi^{[s]}\leftrightarrow$  scalar wave is not perfect; e.g. for  $s\leq 0$  compare

• Killing energy for scalar waves,

$$\omega^{2}|\Psi^{[s]}(\infty)|^{2} + \omega(\omega - m\omega_{+})|\Psi^{[s]}(-\infty)|^{2} = -a\sum_{k=0}^{|s|-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\omega\operatorname{Im}[\overline{\Psi^{[s]}}\cdot\mathfrak{J}_{k}^{[s]}]\mathrm{d}r^{*},$$

• TS energy for spin-weighted waves [Teukolsky-Press '74],

$$\underbrace{ \omega^2 \frac{\mathfrak{C}_s}{\mathfrak{D}_s^{\mathcal{I}}} |\Psi^{[s]}(\infty)|^2 + \omega(\omega - m\omega_+) |\Psi^{[s]}(-\infty)|^2}_{\text{frequency weights which behave weirdly [Casals-TdC '21]}} = 0$$

Energies are bad on their own, but turn out to go well together.

### In practice...



\* Quantitatively disjoint if |a| < M, but lose control as  $\omega \to m\omega_+, |a| \to M$ .

Thank you!