

# Radiation fluid spacetimes and non-linear stability

Christian L  bbe

University of Leicester

[cl242@le.ac.uk](mailto:cl242@le.ac.uk)

Presenting results of joint work  
with Juan A. Valiente Kroon

## Central question

Any model is an approximation of particular features of our universe.  
How sensitive are predictions using these models to perturbations?

Stability results using functional analysis (examples):

- **vacuum:** Christodoulou-Klainermann [1993], Anderson [2005], Lindblad-Rodnianski [2010]
- **EM:** Zipser [2000], Loizelet [2008]
- **scalar field:** Ringström [2008], Holzegel, Smulevici [2011]
- **perfect fluid:** Rodnianski-Speck [2010], Speck [2011, 12]

Stability results using the conformal methods:

- **vacuum:** Friedrich [1981, 85, 86] LV [2010, 11]
- **EMYM:** Friedrich 1991, LV 2012 (EM)

# Main theorem

This talk will analyse stability of perfect fluid FLRW space-times with an equation of state  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}$ , ( $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$ ). The energy-momentum tensor is of the form:

$$\tilde{T}_{ij} = \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\rho}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}\tilde{g}_{ij}$$

These space-times describe incoherent radiation.

In particular we prove:

## Theorem

*Suppose we are given Cauchy initial data for the Einstein-Euler system with a de Sitter-like cosmological constant  $\lambda$  and equation of state  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}$ . If the initial data is sufficiently close to data for a FLRW cosmological model with  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}$ , cosmological constant  $\lambda$  and spatial curvature  $k = 1$ , then*

- the development exists globally towards the future,*
- is future geodesically complete,*
- remains close to the FLRW solution.*

# Main theorem

This talk will analyse stability of perfect fluid FLRW space-times with an equation of state  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}$ , ( $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$ ). The energy-momentum tensor is of the form:

$$\tilde{T}_{ij} = \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\rho}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}\tilde{g}_{ij}$$

These space-times describe incoherent radiation.

In particular we prove:

## Theorem

*Suppose we are given Cauchy initial data for the Einstein-Euler system with a de Sitter-like cosmological constant  $\lambda$  and equation of state  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}$ . If the initial data is sufficiently close to data for a FLRW cosmological model with  $\tilde{p} = \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}$ , cosmological constant  $\lambda$  and spatial curvature  $k = 1$ , then*

- the development exists globally towards the future,*
- is future geodesically complete,*
- remains close to the FLRW solution.*

# Conformal approach of Friedrich

## General idea

- Conformally embed  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$  into  $(M, g)$  where  $g = \theta^2 \tilde{g}$ .
- Re-formulate the Einstein field equation in terms of the geometry of  $(M, g)$ .
- Treat global problems in  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$  via local analysis in  $(M, g)$
- Show regularity of PDE and formulate evolution problem.
- Prove existence and uniqueness.
- Find reference space-time and prove stability.

# The conformal variables: Geometry

Geometry:

- **coordinates:**  $(\tau, x^A)$
- **Frame:**  $g$ -orthonormal ( $g_{\mu\nu}$  indirectly defined via frame metric)  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  1+3 split and space-spinors
- **Connection:** torsion-free, here Levi-Civita connection for  $g$
- **Curvature:** Weyl and Schouten tensor - well suited for conformal approach
- **conformal factor:** and its derivatives

Torsion, curvature conditions and Bianchi identities used to derive evolution and constraints equations

Conformal factor  $\theta$  must satisfy  $\nabla^k \nabla_k \theta = \theta P$  and is *fixed* by setting  $P = -1$

Additional gauge source functions chosen to *fix gauge freedoms* of coordinates and frame and give symmetric hyperbolic system (Friedrich 1985).

# The conformal variables: Matter

Matter (radiation fluid  $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$ ):  $\tilde{T}_{ij} = \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\rho}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_j - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\rho}\tilde{g}_{ij}$

Define  $T_{ij} = \theta^{-2}\tilde{T}_{ij}$  and new variables:

- **density:**  $\rho = \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\theta^4}$
- **fluid flow:**  $u_i = \theta\tilde{u}_i$  with  $g(u, u) = 1$
- **derivatives of the above:**  $\nabla_i \rho \rightarrow \rho_i \quad \nabla_i u_j \rightarrow u_{ij}$   
 $\Rightarrow T_{ij} = \frac{4}{3}\rho u_i u_j - \frac{1}{3}\rho g_{ij}$

Evolution equations and constraints derived from  $\nabla^i T_{ij} = 0$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\nabla}^i \tilde{T}_{ij} = 0$ ) .

Geometry and matter variables are connected by Einstein equation  $\tilde{P}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 T_{ij}$

# Existence and uniqueness

The equations satisfied by the geometric and matter variables are known as the *conformal Einstein field equations* (CEFE) - here for a radiation fluid.

## Regularity of the CEFE

If  $\rho > 0, u_0 \neq 0$  then the CEFE form a regular symmetric hyperbolic system. In particular, this system is regular at conformal infinity  $\mathcal{I}$ , i.e. when  $\theta = 0$ .

## Existence and uniqueness

Given sufficiently smooth initial data  $\mathbf{w}_0$  for the (radiation fluid) CEFE on  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{S}^3$  there exists a unique solution  $\mathbf{w}$  in a neighbourhood of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

## Radiation fluid space-time

A solution  $(M, g)$  to the CEFE (for a radiation fluid) implies a solution  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$  to the Einstein field equations for a radiation fluid, where  $\tilde{M} = M|_{\{\theta > 0\}}$ ,  $\tilde{g} = \theta^{-2}g$ .



# FLRW and the conformal reference space-time

Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric

$$ds_{FLRW}^2 = dt^2 - \frac{a(t)^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{4}kr^2)^2} (dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2))$$

$$k = 1 \Rightarrow ds_{FLRW}^2 = a(t)^2 [d\tau^2 - d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^3}^2] = a(t)^2 ds_{EC}^2$$

where  $\tau = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{dt'}{a(t')}$  and  $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^3}^2$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .

- Work with  $(M, g) = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3, g_{EC})$  and  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) = (I \times \mathbb{S}^3, g_{FLRW})$ .
- For  $\lambda < 0$  (deSitter-like case),  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is a space-like hypersurface  $\tau = \tau_\infty(x^A)$ .
- Use FLRW with  $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$ ,  $k = 1$ ,  $\lambda < 0$  as reference space-time and read off initial data  $\mathring{\mathbf{w}}_0$  for the CEFE (note  $P_{EC} = -1$ ).

# Stability theorem for a tracefree perfect fluid

We work in  $H^m(\mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $m > 4$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{w}_0$  be initial data on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  for CEFE for radiation fluids with  $\lambda < 0$  such that  $\mathbf{w}_0$  is sufficiently close to  $\mathring{\mathbf{w}}_0$  (FLRW data with  $\lambda < 0$  and  $k = 1$ ). Then

- a solution  $\mathbf{w}$  to the CEFE exists on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^3$  with  $T > \tau_\infty$ ,
- $\mathbf{w}$  implies a  $C^{m-2}$  solution of the Einstein equations for a radiation fluid on  $\tilde{M} = \{p \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^3 : \theta(p) > 0\}$ ,
- the development exists globally towards the future,
- $\tilde{M}$  is future geodesically complete and  $\mathcal{I}^+$  a space-like hypersurface.
- $\mathbf{w}$  remains close to the FLRW solution, which is hence non-linearly stable.

Remarks:

- Similar results for  $k = 0, 1$  and  $\lambda \leq 0$  can be obtained
- The results complement Speck[2011], where  $\gamma \neq \frac{4}{3}$ .
- Speck [2012] covers  $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$  using conformal invariance of Einstein-Euler equations.

# Future work and open questions

- ① *Can a similar result be obtained for null dust?*
- ② *Can the conformal analysis be extended to perfect fluids with  $\gamma \neq \frac{4}{3}$ ?*  
Anguige, Tod [1999] analysed isotropic singularities in perfect fluid spacetimes with  $1 < \gamma \leq 2$
- ③ *Can one use congruences to fix the gauge choice?*  $\rightsquigarrow$  Weyl connections
  - conformal geodesics for vacuum (Friedrich 1995, 2003, LV 2010, 2011)
  - conformal curves for Einstein-Maxwell (LV 2012)
- ④ *Can one use congruences to locate conformal infinity?*  
The above examples allow to prescribe / predict the location of the conformal infinity due to explicit knowledge of the conformal factor in terms of the time parameter of the curves.

Thank you for listening

Reference: arXiv:1111.4691